



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's  
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara  
**Department of Civil Engineering**  
**Academic Year 2021-22**  
**Semester- VII**

**NOTICE**

Course Code	Type of Course	Course Title	Weekly Teaching Scheme			Evaluation Scheme				Credits
			L	T	P	CA	MSE	ESE	Total	
BTCVP711	BTP	Project Stage-I**	--	--	6	--	50	50	100	3

As per syllabus structure for the course Project Stage – I of B.Tech. class, End Sem Evaluation of 50 marks has been arranged on 19 January 2022 in department computer lab from 11 a.m.

Project guides are requested to be present during presentation of their batch with Project Evaluation Committee [ 1] Prof. A.B. Shelar 2] Prof. A. A. Mahadar 3] Prof. Amit Gawale ].

Project guides are requested to follow evaluation rubrics attached herewith for end sem as well as mid sem.



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's  
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara  
**Department of Civil Engineering**  
**Academic Year 2021-22**  
**Semester- VII**

**RUBRICS FOR EVALUATION**

<b>Review #</b>	<b>Agenda</b>	<b>Assessment</b>	<b>Review Assessment Weightage</b>	<b>Over all Weightage</b>
<b>Review 1</b>	Project Stage-I Evaluation by Project Guide	Rubric #R1:	30	
<b>Review 1</b>	Project Stage I Evaluation by Committee	Rubric #R2:	20	
	MSE		50	50
	ESE		50	50
	Total		100	100

Head of Civil Department



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's  
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara  
**Department of Civil Engineering**  
**Academic Year 2021-22**  
**Semester- VII**

**Rubric #R1:** Evaluation by Project Guide

Maximum Marks\*: 30

		<b>Level of Achievement</b>			
		<b>Excellent (15)</b>	<b>Good (10)</b>	<b>Average (5)</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>a</b>	<b>Design Methodology</b>	Division of problem into modules properly Appropriate design methodology and properly justification	Division of problem into modules Design methodology not properly justified	Modular approach not adopted Design methodology not defined	
<b>b</b>	<b>Planning of Project Work, Team Structure and Regularity</b>	Time frame properly specified and being followed Appropriate distribution of project work Reports to the guide regularly and consistent in work	Time frame properly specified and being followed Distribution of project work inappropriate Not very regular but consistent in the work	Time frame not properly specified In-appropriate distribution of project work Irregular in attendance and inconsistent in work	
<b>c</b>	<b>Technical Knowledge and relevance of project topic</b>	Extensive knowledge related to the project	Fair knowledge related to the project	Lacks sufficient knowledge	
<b>d</b>	<b>Project Stage I report writing</b>	Project Stage I report is according to the specified format	Project Stage I report is according to the specified format but some mistakes	Project Stage I report not prepared according to the specified format	
				<b>Total Marks Out of 60</b>	
				<b>Total Marks Out of 30</b>	

Project Guide Name & Sign



Rayat Shikshan Sanstha's  
Karmaveer Bhaurao Patil College of Engineering, Satara  
**Department of Civil Engineering**  
**Academic Year 2021-22**  
**Semester- VII**

Rubric #R2: Evaluation by Committee

Maximum Marks\*: 20

Level of Achievement						
		Excellent (20)	Good (15)	Average (10)	Poor (5)	Score
<b>a</b>	<b>Presentation</b>	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well arranged Proper eye contact with audience and clear voice with good spoken language	Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged Satisfactory demonstration, clear voice with good spoken language but eye contact not proper	Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well arranged Presentation not satisfactory and average demonstration	Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered Poor delivery of presentation	
<b>B</b>	<b>Description of Concepts and Technical Details</b>	Complete explanation of the key concepts Strong description of the technical requirements of the project	Complete explanation of the key concepts In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Complete explanation of the key concepts but little relevance to literature In-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project	Inappropriate explanation of the key concepts Poor description of the technical requirements of the project	
<b>C</b>	<b>Handling Questions</b>	Answered all questions with proper justification	Answered 80% questions	Answered 60% questions	Answered less than 40% question	
<b>Total Marks Out of 60</b>						
<b>Total Marks Out of 20</b>						

Project Evaluation Committee: